Evaluation of numbers written in the Fibonacci system

Maarten M. Fokkinga 1979-07-19

### Abstract

We give a very simple algorithm which evaluates a number written in the Fibonacci system from left to right according to Horner's scheme. Both an a priori mathematical problem analysis and a direct algorithmic construction are given. Finally we investigate the generalization for arbitrary recurrence relations.

### Acknowledgement

The problem has been suggested by Theo van der Genugten.

## 1. The problem statement

The Fibonacci sequence is defined by

The Fibonacci sequence is defined by

(0) 
$$F_0 = \dots, F_1 = \dots$$
, and for  $j \ge 0$   $F_{j+2} = F_j + F_{j+1}$ .

(n  $\ge 0$ ) by

Let a possibly empty sequence  $a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 0)$  be given.

It is requested to determine the value

It is requested  
R: 
$$W = a_0^{*F} n - 1$$
 + ... +  $a_{n-1}^{*F} 0$ 

with the constraint that the sequence a may only be scanned,

from left to right, once. Hence the value of n might be determined implicitly and need not be known before  $a_{n-1}$  has been scanned.

# 2. An a priori mathematical analysis

We realize that half-way the computational proces we will have computed the value

(1) 
$$w_j = a_0^{*F} j - 1 + \cdots + a_{j-1}^{*F} 0$$

for some j: 0..n . Indeed, when j = n the value  $w_j$  equals the requested (1)  $w_j = a_0^{*F}_{j-1} + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_{0}$ value w; further, no use is made of the value of n and the sequence a has been scanned from left to right. We try to set up a recurrence relation

for 
$$w_j$$
:

 $w_{j+1} = (from(1):) a_0 *F_j + ... + a_j *F_0$ 
 $= (a_0 *F_j + ... + a_{j-1} *F_1) + a_j *F_0$ 
 $= v_j + a_j *F_0$ 

provided we define the entity  $v_{j}$  , involving the sequence scanned so far,

as follows:  
(2) 
$$v_j = a_0^{*F_j} + \cdots + a_{j-1}^{*F_1}$$
 recurrent

Now we need to express  $v_{j+1}$  recurrently too:

Now we need to express 
$$v_{j+1}$$
 recurrently
$$v_{j+1} = (\text{from}(2):) \ a_0^{*F}_{j+1} + \dots + a_j^{*F}_1$$

$$= (a_0^{*F}_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_2) + a_j^{*F}_1$$

$$= (\text{from}(0):) \ (a_0^{*(F}_{j-1}^{+F}_j) + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*(F}_0^{+F}_1)) + a_j^{*F}_1$$

$$= (a_0^{*F}_{j-1} + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_0) + (a_0^{*F}_j + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_1) + a_j^{*F}_1$$

$$= (a_0^{*F}_{j-1} + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_0) + (a_0^{*F}_j + \dots + a_{j-1}^{*F}_1) + a_j^{*F}_1$$

$$= (\text{from}(2,3):) \ w_j + v_j + a_j^{*F}_1$$

The program now is a simple repetition. The invariant relation reads Fortunately, we are through!

The program now is 
$$u = w_j$$
 and  $v = v_j$ .

P:  $0 \le j \le n$  and  $w = w_j$  and  $v = v_j$ .

The program reads

j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;  
j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;  

$$j = j+1, v+a_j*F_0' w+v+a_j*F_1$$

$$j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;$$
  
 $do j \neq n \rightarrow j, w, v := j+1, v+a_j*F_0' w+v+a_j*F_1 od$ .

### 3. A direct algorithmic construction

We try to establish relation R by means of a repetition. To this end we derive the invariant relation from R by "replacing a constant by a variable" (the standard approach!). We choose to replace (all!) occurrences of n by a variable j:

P0:  $w = a_0 * F_{j-1} + ... + a_{j-1} * F_0 \text{ and } 0 \le j \le n$ .

(The second term has been introduced to restrict the range of j ). The program should then read

 $j, w := 0, 0; \{P0\}$ 

 $\underline{do}$   $j\neq n \rightarrow j$ , w := j+1, "new w"  $\underline{od}$  {R}.

In order to know how to refine "new w" we compute wp("j,w := j+1, "new w"", P0) =

= "new w" =  $a_0 * F_{j+1-1} + ... + a_{j+1-1} * F_0 = and 0 \le j+1 \le n$ 

= "new w" =  $(a_0 * F_j + ... + a_{j-1} * F_1) + a_j * F_0$  and  $0 \le j+1 \le n$ .

This is true, on account of PO, provided we refine

"new w" :  $v + a_{i} * F_{0}$ 

and we establish before the assignment the relation

P1:  $v = a_0 * F_j + ... + a_{j-1} * F_1$ 

However, instead of establishing P1 inside the repetition from scratch, we may as well take relation P1 outside the repetition:

j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;  $\{P0 \text{ and } P1\}$ 

 $\underline{do}$   $j \neq n \rightarrow j$ , w := j+1,  $v+a_j*F_0$ ; "reestablish P1"  $\underline{od}$ . For convenience -- as appeared in earlier drafts of this paper -- we will reestablish P1 simultaneously with the assignment to j and w:

j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;

 $\underline{do}$   $j\neq n \rightarrow j$ , w, v := j+1,  $v+a_j*^F_0$ , "new v"  $\underline{od}$ .

In order to know how to refine "new v"" , P1) =

 $wp("j,w,v := j+1, v+a_j*F_0, "new v", P1) =$ 

= "new v" =  $a_0^{*F}_{j+1}$  + .. +  $a_{j+1-1}^{*F}_{1}$ 

= "new v" =  $(a_0 * (F_{j-1} + F_j) + ... + a_{j-1} * (F_0 + F_1)) + a_j * F_1$ 

= "new v" =  $(a_0^{*F}_{j-1} + ... + a_{j-1}^{*F}_{0}) + (a_0^{*F}_{j} + ... + a_{j-1}^{*F}_{1}) + a_j^{*F}_{1}$ 

= "new v" =  $w + v + a_{j}*F_{1}$ .

The transition to the last line is valid on account of PO and P1 immediately before the assignment. Hence we may refine

"new v" :  $w + v + a_j *F_1$  .

Herewith the program has been finished:

j, w, v := 0, 0, 0;

 $\underline{do}$   $j \neq n \rightarrow j$ , w, v := j+1,  $v+a_j*F_0$ ,  $w+v+a_j*F_1 \underline{od}$ .

Remark. The direct algorithmic construction shows exactly the same reasoning as the mathematical analysis. (End of remark.)

#### 3. Generalization

Let  $k \ge 1$  and let f be a function such that

(0) for some constants  $c_0, ..., c_{k-1}$  $f(x_0, ..., x_{k-1}) = c_0 * x_0 + ... + c_{k-1} * x_{k-1}$ .

Let S be a recurrent sequence, defined by means of f:

(1)  $S_0, ..., S_{k-1}$  are given,  $S_{j+k} = f(S_j, ..., S_{j+k-1})$  for  $j \ge 0$ .

We give a simple and efficient algorithm to determine

R:  $w = a_0 * S_{n-1} + ... + a_{n-1} * S_0$ 

for arbitrary sequence  $a_0 \ldots a_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 0)$ , which scans the sequence from left to right (and doesn't use the value of n before  $a_{n-1}$  has been scanned). We also show that (0) is a complete characterization for all functions f for which the algorithm is correct.

Notation. " $\underline{S}i$ : m..n. ti" means: the sum of all terms ti in which i ranges over m..n .

Define k sequences  $w_0, \dots, w_{k-1}$  as follows.

(2) For each j ,  $0 \le j \le n$ ,

$$w_{0,j} = \underline{Si} : 0..j-1. \ a_{i} * S_{j-1-i} '$$

$$w_{1,j} = \underline{Si} : 0..j-1. \ a_{i} * S_{j-1-i+1} '$$

$$\vdots$$

$$w_{k-1,j} = \underline{Si} : 0..j-1. \ a_{i} * S_{j-1-i+k-2} '$$

$$w_{k-1,j} = \underline{Si} : 0..j-1. \ a_{i} * S_{j-1-i+k-1} '$$

(3)  $w_{0,j+1} = a_j * s_0 + w_{1,j}$ ,  $w_{1,j+1} = a_j * s_1 + w_{2,j}$ ,  $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $w_{k-2,j+1} = a_j * s_{k-2} + w_{k-1,j}$ ,  $w_{k-1,j+1} = a_j * s_{k-1} + f(w_{0,j}, \dots, w_{k-1,j})$ . The first k-1 equalities follow directly from (2); the last equality

exploits (0) and (1) as well.

Thanks to the recurrence relation a single repetition suffices. The invariant relation reads

 $0 \le j \le n \text{ and } w_0 = w_{0,j} \text{ and } \cdots \text{ and } w_{k-1} = w_{k-1,j}$ . The program reads

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{j, } w_0, \ \dots, \ w_{k-1} := 0, \ 0, \ \dots, \ 0; \\ \\ \underline{\text{do}} \ \text{j} \neq \text{n} \rightarrow \\ \\ \text{j, } w_0, \ \dots, \ w_{k-1} := \text{j+1, a}_{\text{j}} * \text{S}_0 + \text{w}_1, \ \dots, \ \text{a}_{\text{j}} * \text{S}_{k-1} + \text{f}(\text{w}_0, \dots, \text{w}_{k-1}) \\ \\ \underline{\text{od}} \ . \end{array}$$

Applications.

The unary, binary and decimal system are instances of the general case, viz.

a. 
$$S_0 = 1$$
 and  $f(x_0) = x_0$ : unary system,

b. 
$$S_0 = 1$$
, and  $f(x_0) = 2*x_0$ : binary system,

c. 
$$S_0 = 1$$
, and  $f(x_0) = 10*x_0$ : decimal system.

However the above algorithm allows the "digits"  $a_{\mbox{\scriptsize j}}$  to be of

unbounded value. Note also that case a is the standard summation

$$a_0 + \dots + a_{n-1}$$

Another example is the Fibonacci sequence

d. 
$$S_0 = 0$$
,  $S_1 = 1$  and  $f(x_0, x_1) = x_0 + x_1$ .

For all these cases the algorithm is the most efficient one (measured in the number of additions and multiplications): it is an implementation of Horner's scheme!

Completeness of requirement (0).

In the last line  $\circ f$  the proof of the recurrence relation (3), it appears that a sufficient and necessary condition for f reads

(4) 
$$\underline{S}j. a_j * f(x_0, j, ..., x_{k-1, j}) = f((\underline{S}j. a_j * x_0, j), ..., (\underline{S}j. a_j * x_{k-1, j}))$$
.

The requirement (0) is equivalent with (4), and so it is a complete characterization of all f for which the algorithm is correct. The implication

(4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (0) is easy; for the converse (0)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4) we argue as follows.

For arbitrary  $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$  define

(5) 
$$a_j = x_j$$
 for  $0 \le j \le k-1$ ,

(6) 
$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$
 for  $0 \le j \le k-1$ .

Then we find

$$f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) =$$

- =  $(from (5,6):) f((\underline{S}j: 0..k-1. a_j*x_{0,j}), ..., (\underline{S}j: 0..k-1. a_j*x_{k-1,j}))$
- = (from (4):) Sj: 0..k-1.  $f(a_j * x_0, j, ..., a_j * x_{k-1, j})$
- =  $(\text{from } (5,6):) \times_0 *f(1,0,...,0) + ... + \times_{k-1} *f(0...,0,1)$

so we may choose  $c_0 = f(1,0...,0),..., c_{k-1} = f(0...,0,1)$  and we see that (0) holds as well.

Note, added later Josef Engelfriet pointed my attention to the following recurrente relation for the wij:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{j+2} &= \left(a_0 * F_{j+1} + \cdots + a_{j-1} * F_2\right) + \left(a_j * F_3 + a_{j+1} * F_0\right) \\ &= \left(a_0 * F_j + \cdots + a_{j-1} * F_1\right) + \left(a_j * F_0 - a_j * F_0\right) + \\ \left(a_0 * F_{j-1} + \cdots + a_{j-1} * F_0\right) + \left(a_j * F_1 + a_{j+1} * F_0\right) \\ &= \omega_j + \omega_{j+1} + \left(a_j * F_1 + a_{j+1} * F_0 - a_j * F_0\right) \end{aligned}$$

with wo = 0, U1 = adfo.

So another program reads

 $j, a, wo, w_1 := 0, a_0, o, a_0 * \overline{t}_0;$ 

do  $j \neq n \Rightarrow j, a, \omega_0, \omega_1 := j + l, aj, \omega_1, \omega_0 + \omega_1 + a \star \xi_1 + aj \star \xi_0 - aj \star \xi_0$  with invariant relation

 $\omega_0 = \omega_j$  and  $\omega_1 = \omega_{j+1}$  and  $\omega_j = \alpha_j$  and  $0 \le j \le n$ .