

Restricted Choices

Maarten Fokkinga

Version of March 7, 2011, 17:37

Problem statement. Given are three numbers N, p, q with $0 \leq p \leq 3N$ and $0 \leq q < N$. The problem is to determine the number of ways that one can choose p elements from a sequence of three sets of size N in such a way that in the first set more than q elements are chosen and in each of the second and third set at most q . So, identifying a set of size N with numbers $1 \dots N$, the problem is to determine the following number:

$$(1) \quad \#\{A, B, C : 1 \dots N \mid \#A + \#B + \#C = p \quad \wedge \quad q < \#A \quad \wedge \quad \#B \leq q \quad \wedge \quad \#C \leq q\}$$

Problem solving strategy. The idea is to massage the set in the problem specification (1) according to the following law (assuming P_i and D_j ($j \geq i$) have no free variables in common):

$$(2) \quad \{D_1; D_2; D_3 \mid P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge P_3 \bullet E\} = \bigcup \{D_1 \mid P_1 \bullet \bigcup \{D_2 \mid P_2 \bullet \bigcup \{D_3 \mid P_3 \bullet \{E\}\}\}\}$$

This can be followed by pushing the cardinality $\#$ through the big-union \bigcup as follows (assuming that E denotes a set and $\forall D; D' \mid P \wedge P' \wedge D \neq D' \bullet E \cap E' = \emptyset$):

$$(3) \quad \#\bigcup \{D \mid P \bullet E\} = \sum D \mid P \bullet \#E$$

And finally, if in the previous line the D, P, E have a suitable form, then a simplification of the right-hand side is possible using the binomial $\binom{n}{k}$ (which equals $\#\{A : 1 \dots n \mid \#A = k\}$):

$$(4) \quad \sum A : 1 \dots N \mid low \leq \#A \leq up \bullet f(\#A) = \sum a : low \dots up \bullet \binom{N}{a} \times f(a)$$

Fully spelled out, and leaving the domain $1 \dots N$ implicit and writing a, b, c for $\#A, \#B, \#C$, this strategy reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{A, B, C \mid \text{“condition on } a, b, c\text{”} \bullet (A, B, C)\} \\ = & \quad \text{defining suitable lowerbounds and upperbounds} \\ & \#\{A, B, C \mid L \leq a \leq U \quad \wedge \quad L_a \leq b \leq U_a \quad \wedge \quad L_{a,b} \leq c \leq U_{a,b} \bullet (A, B, C)\} \\ = & \quad \text{law (2)} \\ & \#\bigcup \{A \mid L \leq a \leq U \bullet \bigcup \{B \mid L_a \leq b \leq U_a \bullet \bigcup \{C \mid L_{a,b} \leq c \leq U_{a,b} \bullet \{(A, B, C)\}\}\}\} \\ = & \quad \text{repeatedly law (3)} \\ & \sum A \mid L \leq a \leq U \bullet \sum B \mid L_a \leq b \leq U_a \bullet \sum C \mid L_{a,b} \leq c \leq U_{a,b} \bullet \#\{(A, B, C)\} \\ = & \quad \text{three times law (4), and “singleton has size one”} \\ & \sum a : L \dots U \bullet \left(\binom{N}{a} \times \sum b : L_a \dots U_a \bullet \left(\binom{N}{b} \times \sum c : L_{a,b} \dots U_{a,b} \bullet \binom{N}{c} \times 1 \right) \right) \\ = & \quad \text{notational change; scope of } \sum \text{ extends to the right as far as possible} \\ & \sum_{a:L..U} \binom{N}{a} \times \sum_{b:L_a..U_a} \binom{N}{b} \times \sum_{c:L_{a,b}..U_{a,b}} \binom{N}{c} \end{aligned}$$

Derivation of the bounds. In view of aimed applications of law (4), we try to massage the constraints on $\#A, \#B, \#C$ in the problem specification (1) into lowerbounds and upperbounds for these sizes. For readability we put $a = \#A$, $b = \#B$, and $c = \#C$. First we derive bounds for c , then for b (without using c), and finally for a (without using c, b):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{“given constraint on } a, b, c \text{ in (1)”} \\
\equiv & \\
& q < a \wedge \\
& b \leq q \wedge \\
& c \leq q \wedge \\
& a + b + c = p \\
\equiv & \quad \text{global knowledge: size } A \text{ at most } N, \text{ and sizes } B \text{ and } C \text{ at least } 0 \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& 0 \leq b \leq q \wedge \\
& 0 \leq c \leq q \wedge \\
& a + b + c = p \\
\equiv & \quad \text{last line defines } c, \text{ elimination of } c \text{ in remaining clauses} \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& 0 \leq b \leq q \wedge \\
& 0 \leq p - a - b \leq q \wedge \\
& c = p - a - b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{reformulate one-but-last line as bounds for } b \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& 0 \leq b \leq q \wedge \\
& p - a - q \leq b \leq p - a \wedge \\
& c = p - a - b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{taking middle lines together} \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& \max(0, p - a - q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p - a) \wedge \\
& c = p - a - b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{taking apart the lower and upperbound of } b \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& \max(0, p - a - q) \leq \min(q, p - a) \wedge \\
& \max(0, p - a - q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p - a) \wedge \\
& c = p - a - b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{elaboration second line into four comparisons} \\
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& 0 \leq q \wedge p - a - q \leq q \wedge 0 \leq p - a \wedge p - a - q \leq p - a \wedge \\
& \max(0, p - a - q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p - a) \wedge \\
& c = p - a - b \\
\equiv & \quad \text{simplification second line using given bounds of } p \text{ and } q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& q < a \leq N \wedge \\
& p-2q \leq a \wedge a \leq p \wedge \\
& \max(0, p-a-q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p-a) \wedge \\
& c = p-a-b \\
\equiv & \text{ taking first two lines together} \\
& \max(q+1, p-2q) \leq a \leq \min(N, p) \wedge \\
& \max(0, p-a-q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p-a) \wedge \\
& c = p-a-b \\
\equiv & \text{ taking apart the lower and upperbound of } a \\
& \max(q+1, p-2q) \leq \min(N, p) \wedge \\
& \max(q, p-2q) \leq a \leq \min(N, p) \wedge \\
& \max(0, p-a-q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p-a) \wedge \\
& c = p-a-b \\
\equiv & \text{ simplification first line (via four comparisons), using the bounds for } p, q \\
& q < p \leq N+2q \wedge \\
& \max(q+1, p-2q) \leq a \leq \min(N, p) \wedge \\
& \max(0, p-a-q) \leq b \leq \min(q, p-a) \wedge \\
& c = p-a-b
\end{aligned}$$

(It follows that $0 < p$.) For readability we give names to the bounds for a, b, c :

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \max(q+1, p-2q) & U &= \min(N, p) \\
L_a &= \max(0, p-a-q) & U_a &= \min(q, p-a) \\
L_{a,b} &= p-a-b = U_{a,b}
\end{aligned}$$

The solution. Applying the strategy, and using the bounds just derived, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \#\{A, B, C : 1 \dots N \mid \#A + \#B + \#C = p \wedge q < \#A \wedge \#B \leq q \wedge \#C \leq q\} \\
= & \text{ notational definition} \\
& \#\{A, B, C : 1 \dots N \mid \text{“same constraint as in previous line”} \bullet (A, B, C)\} \\
= & \text{ following the strategy outlined above} \\
& \sum_{a: L..U \wedge q < p \leq N+2q} \binom{N}{a} \times \sum_{b: L_a..U_a} \binom{N}{b} \times \sum_{c: L_{a,b}..U_{a,b}} \binom{N}{c} \\
= & \text{ bounds for } c \text{ coincide} \\
& \sum_{a: L..U \wedge q < p \leq N+2q} \binom{N}{a} \times \sum_{b: L_a..U_a} \binom{N}{b} \times \binom{N}{L_{a,b}} \\
= & \text{ taking apart the condition on } a \text{ that does not depend on } a \\
& 0 \text{ if not } (q < p \leq N+2q) \text{ else } \sum_{a: L..U} \binom{N}{a} \times \sum_{b: L_a..U_a} \binom{N}{b} \times \binom{N}{L_{a,b}} \\
= & \text{ substituting definitions for } L, U, L_a, U_a, \text{ notational change} \\
& 0 \text{ if not } (q < p \leq N+2q) \text{ else} \\
& \sum_{a=\max(q+1, p-2q)}^{\min(N, p)} \binom{N}{a} \times \sum_{b=\max(0, p-a-q)}^{\min(q, p-a)} \binom{N}{b} \times \binom{N}{p-a-b}
\end{aligned}$$